JS-6 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ANGEL MENDEZ, et al., Case No.: **CV 11-04771-MWF (PJWx)** 11 Plaintiffs, 12 13 VS. JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 18 Following a trial to the Court, the Court entered its Findings of Fact and 19 Conclusions of Law. (Docket No. 250). Consistent with the Findings of Fact and 20 Conclusions of Law, and pursuant to Rules 54(a) and 58(b)(1)(C) of the Federal 21 Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND 22 DECREED that judgment on the merits be entered as follows: 23 1. On Plaintiffs' Claim that Defendants conducted an unreasonable search 24 (based on warrantless entry) in violation of the Fourth Amendment: 25 Judgment in the sum of \$1.00 in nominal damages is entered against 26 Defendant Deputy Christopher Conley only, and in favor of Plaintiffs 27 Angel Mendez and Jennifer Lynne Garcia (now Jennifer Mendez). 28 JUDGMENT

1	2.	On Plaintiffs' Claim that Defendants conducted an unreasonable search						
2		(based on failure to knock-and-announce) in violation of the Fourth						
3		Amendment: Judgment in the sum of \$1.00 in nominal damages is						
4		entered, jointly and severally, against Defendants Deputies Conley and						
5		Jennifer Pederson, and in favor of Plaintiffs						
6	3.	On Plaintiffs' Claim that Defendants used excessive force (based on						
7		conduct at the moment of shooting) in violation of the Fourth						
8		Amendment: Judgment is entered in favor of Defendants Deputies						
9		Conley and Pederson.						
10	4.	On Plaintiffs' Claim that Defendants used excessive force (based on						
11		Alexander/Billington provocation) in violation of the Fourth						
12		Amendment: Judgment is entered, jointly and severally, against						
13		Defendants Deputies Conley and Pederson, and in favor of Plaintiffs, as						
14		follows:						
15	<u>Plain</u>	Plaintiff Angel Mendez						
16	a.	Past Medical Bills:	\$ 721,056.00					
17	b.	Future Medical Care:						
18		i. Prosthesis upkeep & replacement:	\$ 407,000.00					
19		ii. Future surgeries:	\$ 45,000.00					
•								
20		iii. Psychological care (5 years):	\$ 13,300.00					
20 21	c.	Attendant Care (4 hours/day at	· · · · · ·					
		Attendant Care (4 hours/day at \$12.00/hour):	\$ 648,240.00					
21	d.	Attendant Care (4 hours/day at \$12.00/hour): Loss of Earnings:	\$ 648,240.00 \$ 241,920.00					
21 22	d.	Attendant Care (4 hours/day at \$12.00/hour): Loss of Earnings: Non-Economic Damages:	\$ 648,240.00 \$ 241,920.00 \$ 1,800,000.00					
21 22 23	d.	Attendant Care (4 hours/day at \$12.00/hour): Loss of Earnings:	\$ 648,240.00 \$ 241,920.00					

- 1						
1	<u>Plain</u>	tiff Jennifer Lynn Garo	cia (now Jenni	<u>fer Men</u>	<u>dez)</u>	
2	a.	Past Medical Bills:		\$	95,182.00	
3	b.	Future Medical Care:		\$	37,000.00	
4	c.	Non-Economic Damage	es:	\$	90,000.00	
5		TOTAL:		\$	222,182.00	
6	5.	On Plaintiffs' California	a tort claims: Ju	udgment	is entered in favor of	
7		Defendants Deputies Conley and Pederson.				
8			0	mi	P 01	
9			Michae	W.	angoras	
10	DATED: At	ugust 27, 2013.			<u>U</u>	
11			MICHAEL V United States			
12					-	
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						

28